

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/055,075	01/23/2002	Mahmoud Torabinejad	D-6901	7374	
75	90 09/17/2003				
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			EXAMINER		
One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103			ROSE, SI	ROSE, SHEP K	
		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	1614	10	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	, 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/655075

Applicant(s)
TORA BING JADULA

l 3	182 RUSS	Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c	over sheet beneath th	
P ri d for Reply		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		H(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the 	statutory minimum of thirty i) MONTHS from the mailing	(30) days will be considered timely.
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/25/63		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1;		s to the merits is closed in
Disp sition of Claims		
☐ Claim(s) 3 4 € 5 8	is/a	are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/a	are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/a	re allowed.
② Claim(s) 3 4 € 58	is/a	ure rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/a	re objected to.
□ Claim(s)		
Application Papers	req	uirement.
•	PTO-948.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is 		oved.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F	□ approved □ disappro	oved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is 	□ approved □ disappro	oved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by th 	□ approved □ disappro	oved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by th □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	□ approved □ disappro	oved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by th □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority □ received. 	□ approved □ disappro e Examiner. .C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by th □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority 	□ approved □ disappro e Examiner. .C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been	·
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	□ approved □ disapproved □ di	a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	□ approved □ disappro e Examiner. .C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	□ approved □ disappro e Examiner. .C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	□ approved □ disapproved □ di	a)).

*U.S. GPO: 1998-454-457/97505

Application/Control Number: 10/055,075

Art Unit: 1614

d١

Applicants August 25, 2003 election (on/traverse) and amendment and remarks been considered.

Claims 34 to 58 are composition claims comprising (A) <u>doxycycline</u>, a tetracycline antibiotic, (claims 37, 38, 57, 58, (B) <u>citric acid</u>, an organic acid) (claims 46, 57, 58), (said composition intended for removing smear layer).

Claims 57 and 58, the most comprehensive species claims presented, to (A) (B) (C) are constructively elected, claims 34 to 56 are generic to this constructively elected originally presented species.

Each of references (A) to (J) describes the constructively elected (A) (B) (C) species as a composition, (even if not for applicants' purpose) of these Akazawa et al and Chen et al are selected.

It is the policy of the USPTO to give no weight to a statement of intended use in the preamble of a composition claim, as presented herein.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 34 to 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Akazawa et al U.S. 3846548, and Chen et al U.S. 6383471, and anticipating and describing compositions encompassed percentages of each of (A) doxycycline, (B) citric acid, and (C) polysorbate 80.

It is the policy of the USPTO to give no weight to the intended use of an old composition. <u>In re Sinex</u>, 135 USPQ 302, 305 (CCPA-1962); <u>In re Zierden</u>, 162 USPQ 102, 106 (CCPA-1969) and <u>In re Pearson</u>, 181 USPQ 644 (CCPA-1974).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shep Rose whose telephone number is (703) 308-4609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday 7:30am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

beimpela examined SHEP K. ROSE

SHEP K. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Rose/tgd September 8, 2003 Application/Control Number: 10/055,075

Art Unit: 1614

Page 4